IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

ROBERT LOGAN GORMAN

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:19-cr-00131

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before the court is Defendant Robert Gorman's Motion to File Under Seal, [ECF No. 44]. On June 26, 2020, Defendant Robert Logan Gorman filed an Emergency Motion for Compassionate Release, [ECF No. 42], Memorandum of Law in Support thereof, and Exhibits thereto, including a placeholder for Exhibit F. In his Motion, [ECF No. 44], Defendant Gorman requests to file Exhibit F under seal pursuant to S.D. W. Va. Local Rule 26.4(c) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(d), because the Exhibit contains confidential and sensitive information regarding his medical conditions and health. Local Rule 26(4)(c) provides that in general, court documents must be public. The Rule specifies that a party petitioning the court to seal exhibits must offer an accompanying memorandum describing,

- (a) the reasons why sealing is necessary, including the reasons why alternatives to sealing, such as redaction, are inadequate;
- (b) the requested duration of the proposed seal; and
- (c) a discussion of the propriety of sealing, giving due regard to the parameters of the common law and First

Case 2:19-cr-00131 Document 46 Filed 07/21/20 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 350

Amendment rights of access as interpreted by the

Supreme Court and our Court of Appeals.

S.D. W. Va. L. R. 26.4(c). The public has a presumptive right to access to judicial

documents at common law and under the First Amendment. United States v.

Moussaoui, 65 F. App'x 881, 885 (4th Cir. 2003). "The value of openness in judicial

proceedings can hardly be overestimated." Id. If Defendant intends for me to rely on

certain records contained in Exhibit F in my ruling, then the public has a right to

review that material. I find that the public right to access, in this case, is not

outweighed by Defendant's privacy interest. The Court, having examined Exhibit F,

finds that much of the material is irrelevant. Accordingly, I **GRANT** Defendant's

Motion to File Under Seal, [ECF No. 44], but **DIRECT** Defendant to file an unsealed

copy of the portions of Exhibit F that he deems relevant and that he relies on in

support of his Motion for Compassionate Release, [ECF No. 42]. I further **DIRECT**

Defendant to redact any irrelevant, sensitive, or personally identifying information

contained in the portions of Exhibit F to be filed on the public record.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to the defendant

and counsel, the United States Attorney, the United States Probation Office, and the

United States Marshall.

ENTER:

July 21, 2020

JØSEPH R. GOODWI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE